P: ISSN NO.: 2394-0344 E: ISSN NO.: 2455-0817

# Assessing the Role of Age, Gender, Marital Status, Experience and Organizational Experience in the Relationship of Emotional Intelligence and Managerial Effectiveness



Sindhuja Mishra
Ex.Associate Professor,
BBD National Institute of
Technology and Management,
Faizabad Road,
Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh

### **Abstract**

In order to develop and enhance workforce capabilities and to successfully compete in the 21st century, organizations have to embark on future oriented human resources strategies. It has been found that the individual competencies of the workforce in any organization would determine its overall success. This success, among other things, may be attributed to the socio - behavioral characteristics and adjustments these individuals have to make in their job-role and position-power to gain common ground in any organizational setting. People who rise to the top of their are not just good at their jobs but they are easy-going, flexible and optimistic. In other words, it takes more than traditional cognitive intelligence to be successful at work. It consider 'emotional intelligence,' a social intelligence that enables people to recognize their own, and other peoples' emotions. At its best, emotional intelligence is about influence without manipulation or abuse of authority. It is about perceiving, learning, relating, innovating, prioritizing and acting in ways that take into account and legitimize emotions, rather than relying on logic or intellect or technical analysis alone (Ryback, 1998). The present paper is an attempt to find the role of age, gender, marital status, experience and organizational experience in the relationship of Emotional Intelligence and Managerial effectiveness.

Keywords: Emotional Intelligence, Managerial Effectiveness/ Organizational Effectiveness, Age, Sex, Marital Status. Experience, Organizational Experience

### Introduction

### **Emotional Intelligence at Workplace**

A manager is a person who has to manage the mood of their organizations. The most gifted corporate leaders accomplish that by using a mysterious blend of psychological abilities known as emotional intelligence. They're self-aware and empathetic. They can read and regulate their own emotions while intuitively grasping how others feel and gauging their organization's emotional state.

'Emotional intelligence matters twice as much as technical and analytic skill combined for star performances and the higher people move up in the company, the more crucial emotional intelligence becomes.' Bosses and leaders, in particular, need high EQ because they represent the organization to the public, they interact with the highest number of people within and outside the organization and they set the tone for employee morale, says Goleman (1995). Leaders with empathy are able to understand their employee's needs and provide them with constructive feedback.

Managerial effectiveness is a causal variable in organizational effectiveness. According to the Reddin (1990) it is the extent to which managers achieve the output requirements of their positions. On the other hand an organization may be considered as effective when it succeeds in achieving desired objectives with efficiency in given environmental settings.

EQ and Managerial Effectiveness

The concept of managerial effectiveness has become the central issue in management. It is the manager's job, to be effective. Managerial effectiveness is different from apparent effectiveness. It is not what

P: ISSN NO.: 2394-0344 E: ISSN NO.: 2455-0817

Remarking An Analisation

managers do but what they really achieve. It is not something a manager has but something a manager produces by handling a situation in a right manner. Managers alone are responsible for wealth creation within the boundaries set by the government. They use resources productively, waste less and makeenjoyable and worthwhile. Managerial effectiveness, as defined by Reddin (1987), is the extent to which managers achieve the required output of their position. According to Drucker (1967), "Effectiveness does not come by itself. It can be learned or acquired. Simply having a good level of intelligence, imaginative powers and work knowledge are not the guarantee of the managerial effectiveness." Emotional Competence is a learned capability that results in outstanding performance at work (Singh, 2003). According to Singh, our emotional intelligence is what determines our potential to learn practical skills. Our emotional competence shows how much of this potential is translated into on-the job capabilities. Emotional competencies are clustered into groups, each based on common underlying emotional intelligence ability. These underlying emotional intelligence abilities are vital if people are to successfully learn the competencies necessary to

Recent research has made important strides toward understanding the usefulness of emotional intelligence in the work place (Goleman, 1995a; Mayer & Salovey, 1997; Jac, 1997; Sitarenios, 1998; Goleman, 2001; Cherniss & Adler, 2000; Lagrange & & Cartwright, 2001; Slaski Sitarenios, 2002; Sinha and Jain, 2004; Donaldofeidler & Bond, 2004). All these works provide a number of practical guidelines for the implementation and development of emotional intelligence in order to improve performance measure within occupational settings. El in the work place is relevant to select and develop a career; and also its impact on individual performance and organizational effectiveness is stressed by them. In a nutshell: emotional intelligence is the capacity for recognizing our own feelings and those of others, for motivating ourselves and for managing emotions effectively in ourselves and others. An emotional competence is a learned capacity based on emotional intelligence that contributes to effective performance at work. To conclude, high performers have high emotional competencies in all respect.

### Objective of the Study

succeed at the work place.

In the direction of the available literature concerning the relationship of emotional intelligence, managerial effectiveness an attempt has been made to study the moderating effects of age, sex, marital status, experience, organizational experience on the relationship of emotional intelligence (overall and area wise) and overall managerial effectiveness

### Hypotheses H1

Age would significantly affect the relationship between emotional intelligence (dimension wise and overall) and Managerial

Effectiveness (overall) by acting as a moderator variable.

### H2

Sex would significantly affect the relationship between emotional intelligence (dimension wise and overall) and managerial effectiveness (overall) by acting as a moderator variable.

### **H3**

Marital status would significantly affect the relationship between emotional intelligence (dimension wise and overall) and managerial effectiveness (overall) by acting as a moderator variable.

### Н4

Experience would significantly affect the relationship between emotional intelligence (dimension wise and overall) and managerial effectiveness (overall) by acting as a moderator variable.

### **H5**

Organizational experience would significantly affect the relationship between emotional intelligence (dimension wise and overall) and managerial effectiveness (overall) by acting as a moderator variable.

# Design & Methodology Nature of the study

The present study is co-relational in nature. Present investigation is primarily focusing on following variables:

### **Predictor Variables**

Personal Background variables (Age, Sex, Marital Status, Experience, Organizational Experience) Criterion Variables

# Managerial Effectiveness

2. Emotional Intelligence

### Sample

The study was conducted on 200 employees incidentally selected from private telecom operators of Lucknow. The age group of these employees ranged from 28 years to 45 years. The sample consists of both married and unmarried employees out of this 87 were female and 113 were male employees. These employees were randomly selected from six different departments namely:

- 1. Sales.
- 2. Operation,
- 3. Accounts,
- 4. Technical,
- 5. Customer Care,
- 6. HR.

The designation of these employees ranged from

- 1. Executive.
- 2. Senior Executive,
- 3. Assistant Manager,
- 4. Manager,
- 5. Zonal Manager
- 6. Regional Manager.

The educational qualification also ranged

# from

1. Graduate (BA, BSC, BCOM)

P: ISSN NO.: 2394-0344 E: ISSN NO.: 2455-0817

# D.: 2455-0817 Remarking An Analisation

- 2. Post graduate (MA, MSC, MCOM),
- graduate with Professional qualification (BE, BBA).
- Post graduate with professional qualifications (MBA/CA).

Their total work experience ranged 3 years to 25 years. Their tenure in current job ranged from 1 years to 12 years.

### Measures

### **Emotional Intelligence Scale (E.I.S)**

Emotional Intelligence Scale dimensions	Item Numbers	Total Number of items
Self Awareness	1 to 12	12
Self Regulation	13 to 24	12
Motivation	25 to 36	12
Social Awareness	37 to 48	12
Social Skills	49 to 60	12
Total		60

Participants' emotional intelligence was measured by a scale developed and standardized by Singh (2004). It is consisted of 60 statements which were grouped under five categories namely: Self

awareness, Self Regulation, Motivation, Social Awareness, and Social Skills. Higher score indicates high level of emotional intelligence in that respective area. The author has reported the value of internal reliability (á=0.88), and content and face validity is examined by asking from 10 specialists. In the present study, internal reliability was satisfactory (á=0.93).

The five areas of emotional intelligence have different numbers of items which are mentioned as below. The individual had to rate the statements is in 5,4,3,2, 1 for describe me very well, well, moderately well, little and not at all respectively. Higher score indicates high level of emotional intelligence in that respective area.

### Managerial Effectiveness Questionnaire

The Managerial effectiveness questionnaire was devised by GUPTA (1986). It has 45 items. The test studied sixteen factors. These factors are tapping three important aspects of managerial effectiveness: activities of his position, achieving the results and developing further potential.

Factors	Area Chosen	Item Nos.
1 Factor I	Confidence In subordinates	33, 34, 19
2. Factor ii	Communication and task management	21,8,9, 35
3. factor iii	Networking	44, 45, 15
4.Factor iv	Colleagues Management	42,26,1, 16, 23
5.Factor v	Discipline	22, 37
6.Factor vi	Informal communication	7, 24, 17
7.Factor vii	Management and work environment	6, 14
8.Factor viii	Conflict resolution	5, 11
9.Factor ix	Integrity and communication	40, 43
10.Factor x	Client management and competence	1, 27
11.Factor xi	Motivation	25,13,2,20
12.Factor xii	Delegation	3, 4
13.Factor xiii	Image building	36, 28, 18
14.Factor xiv	Welfare management	31, 30, 29
15.Factor xv	Consultative	12, 39
16.Factor xvi	Inspect and innovation	10, 32, 38

### Reliability

Reliability, which is concerned with the stability or trust worthiness of a measure is reasonably high and was found by two methods

### **Test Retest Reliability Test**

Reliability coefficient or stability co-efficient was determined by administering the scale to the same 20 managers after a period of 20 days. The test retest reliability was found to be 0.73.

### **Split- Half Reliability**

Split-half coefficients of internal consistency were determined by the spearman - Brown prophecy Formula. Here the test consisting of 56 items were divided into two halves and split - half reliability was found to be 0.73.

### Scoring

1= never

2= sometimes,

3= Undecided,

4= Usually

5= Always

Thus a statement rated never was given a score of I and the like.

Ten items numbered 21,8,9,35,40,43,3, 4,36, 18 were scored negatively i.e., the scoring was reversed. Hence for the aforesaid ten item numbers scoring was as follows: 5-Never, 4-Sometimes 3-Undecided 2-Usually I-Always.

# Results & Interpretation

### **Sub-Group Analysis**

Sub-group analysis was used to set eyes on the relationship between a dichotomous variable and a continuous variable. The moderator variables in our case were personal background variables (age, gender, marital status, experience and organizational experience). These were dichotomize at the median and labeled as 'Low Group' (less than or equal to median) and as 'High Group' (greater than median). To ascertain the moderator effect of personal background variables, the simple product moment correlation between organizational role stress with emotional intelligence were calculated separately for each (low/high) group. To test the significance

# Remarking An Analisation

P: ISSN NO.: 2394-0344 E: ISSN NO.: 2455-0817

difference between two correlation coefficients, the coefficients for each group were transformed into Fisher's Z function. The function Z has two advantages over 'r' (1) its sampling distribution is approximately normal and (2) its SE depends only upon the size of the sample 'N', and is independent of the size of 'r'. The formulae for 't-value' and SE are given below:

$$t = \frac{(r_{z1} - r_{z2})}{SE}$$
 and  $SE = \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{(N1-3)} + \frac{1}{(N2-3)}}\right)$ 

Where

N1 and N2 are the sub-sample sizes for low and high groups respectively,  $r_{z1}$  and  $r_{z2}$  are the Fisher's Z-values against each groups' correlation coefficients and SE is the standard error of the estimate. The Fisher's Z-value is equal to correlation coefficient, if coefficient is less than or equal to 0.25.

Following Tables (Table 1,2,3,4 and 5) presents the sub-group analysis to study the moderating effect of personal background variables on the relationship of managerial effectiveness and emotional intelligence.

All the analysis has been done using SPSS 16.0

Table 1
Sub-Group Analysis for Age as Moderator of the Relationship between Emotional Intelligence And
Managerial Effectiveness

Variables	Un-Mo	Un-Moderated		Moderated Correlations			
	Corr	Correlation		High		_ow	
	r	N	rz1	n1	rz2	n2	
Moderator: Age							
Self awareness with MGR	.86	200	1.07	96	1.07	104	0.00
Self regulation with MGR	.89	200	0.95	96	1.33	104	2.64
Motivation with MGR	.90	200	1.05	96	1.33	104	1.95
Social awareness with MGR	.89	200	1.07	96	1.29	104	1.53
Social skills with MGR	.87	200	0.93	96	1.19	104	1.81
EI with MGR	.94	200	1.42	96	1.53	104	0.77

t=1.97 (p < 0.05), t=2.35 (p< 0.02), t=2.60 (p<0.01)

As per the findings presented in the Table 1 which depicts....social skills with managerial effectiveness (overall)....With this we see that the hypothesis (H1) has been partially accepted.

There has been found a set of processes involved in attaining managerial effectiveness. These processes are components of an adaptive self-regulation framework. They involve the active

management of constituencies' role expectations and performance opinions through standard-setting, discrepancy-detection, and discrepancy-reduction. These processes serve to enhance constituents' opinions of the manager's effectiveness.

With this we see that the hypothesis (H1) has been partially accepted.

Table 2
Sub-Group Analysis for - Sex, As A Moderator in The Relationship between Emotional Intelligence and Managerial Effectiveness

Variables	Un-Mo	derated	Moderated Correlations				t-value
	Corre	Correlation		Male		Female	
	R	R N		n1	rz2	n2	
Moderator: Sex							
Self awareness with MGR	.86	200	1.19	113	1.42	113	1.71
Self regulation with MGR	.89	200	1.38	113	1.42	113	0.30
Motivation with MGR	.90	200	1.42	113	1.47	113	0.37
Social awareness with MGR	.89	200	1.33	113	1.53	113	1.48
Social skills with MGR	.87	200	1.26	113	1.42	113	1.19
EI with MGR	.94	200	1.59	113	1.83	113	1.78

t=1.97 (p < 0.05), t=2.35 (p< 0.02), t=2.60 (p<0.01)

It was indicated that Sex (gender) did not have moderating effect on the relationship of

managerial effectiveness (overall) and emotional intelligence (both overall and area wise).

VOL-2\* ISSUE-6\* September- 2017

# Remarking An Analisation

Table 3
Sub-Group Analysis for Marital Status as the Moderator in the Relationship between Emotional Intelligence and Managerial Effectiveness

Variables	Un-mod	lerated	Moderated Correlations				t-value
	correl	correlation		Married		Unmarried	
	R	R N		n1	rz2	n2	
Moderator: Marital Status							
Self awareness with MGR	.86	200	1.13	71	1.10	129	0.20
Self regulation with MGR	.89	200	1.33	71	1.16	129	1.13
Motivation with MGR	.90	200	1.42	71	1.19	129	1.53
Social awareness with MGR	.89	200	1.33	71	1.22	129	0.73
Social skills with MGR	.87	200	1.29	71	1.07	129	1.46
EI with MGR	.94	200	1.74	71	1.38	129	2.39

t=1.97 (p < 0.05), t=2.35 (p < 0.02), t=2.60 (p < 0.01)

As per the findings presented in the table 3 marital status was found to have moderating effect only on the relationship between emotional intelligence (overall) with managerial effectiveness

P: ISSN NO.: 2394-0344

E: ISSN NO.: 2455-0817

(overall). In rest of the relationships marital status was found to have no moderating effect as the t-value was not found to be significant.

Table 4
Sub-Group Analysis for Experience, as the Relationship between Emotional Intelligence and Managerial Effectiveness

Variables				derated	erated Correlations			
	corre	lation	High		Low		value	
	R	R N		n1	rz2	n2		
Moderator: Experience								
Self awareness with MGR	.86	200	1.05	89	1.13	111	0.55	
Self regulation with MGR	.89	200	0.95	89	1.33	111	2.63	
Motivation with MGR	.90	200	1.02	89	1.38	111	2.49	
Social awareness with MGR	.89	200	0.81	89	1.33	111	3.60	
Social skills with MGR	.87	200	0.91	89	1.22	111	2.14	
EI with MGR	.94	200	1.33	89	1.59	111	1.80	

t=1.97 (p < 0.05), t=2.35 (p< 0.02), t=2.60 (p<0.01)

From the above Table 4 it is concluded that experience have a moderating effect on the relationship of self regulation, motivation, social

awareness, social skills with Managerial effectiveness.

Table 5
Sub-Group Analysis for Organizational Experience, As a Moderator in Relationship between Emotional Intelligence and Managerial Effectiveness

Variables	Un-Moderated		Мо	Moderated Correlations			
	Corre	Correlation		High		Low	
	r	N	rz1	n1	rz2	n2	
Moderator: Organizational Expe	erience						
Self awareness with MGR	.86	200	1.00	73	1.42	127	2.81
Self regulation with MGR	.89	200	1.05	73	1.53	127	3.21
Motivation with MGR	.90	200	1.02	73	1.59	127	3.81
Social awareness with MGR	.89	200	0.85	73	1.74	127	5.95
Social skills with MGR	.87	200	0.89	73	1.53	127	4.28
EI with MGR	.94	200	1.26	73	1.95	127	4.62

t=1.97 (p < 0.05), t=2.35 (p< 0.02), t=2.60 (p<0.01)

The above table 5 suggests that organizational experience have a moderating effect in the relationship of emotional intelligence (both overall) area-wise and and managerial effectiveness (overall). Organizational experience is nothing else than manager's tenure in the organization. Obviously, the longer we live in one organization the more effective we will be. Citing a day today example a child of 15 years would be more clear about his family (composition, norms, guiding forces, values etc) than a child of 5 years. It may be attributed to the one's tenure in the organization which help him in developing self

awareness, self regulation, motivation, social awareness and social skills which ,in turn, help in improving managerial effectiveness. With this we accept fifth (H5) hypothesis.

### Conclusion

In regard to relationship of emotional intelligence and Managerial Effectiveness age, marital status, experience and organizational experience had partially moderating effect whereas Sex had no moderating effect on the said relation.

### Implication, Limitation and Suggestions

EQ embraces two aspects of intelligence that is understanding yourself (your goals, intentions,

VOL-2\* ISSUE-6\* September- 2017

# Remarking An Analisation

P: ISSN NO.: 2394-0344 E: ISSN NO.: 2455-0817

responses, behavior and all) and Understanding others, and their feelings. The essential premise of EQ is, to be successful requires the effective awareness, control and management of one's own emotions, and those of other people.

Managerial effectiveness is a causal variable in organizational effectiveness. According to the Reddin (1990) it is the extent to which managers achieve the output requirements of their positions. On the other hand an organization may be considered as effective when it succeeds in achieving desired objectives with efficiency in given environmental settings.

The findings of this study can assist managers and policy makers to understand importance of personal back ground variables in relationship of emotional intelligence and managerial effectiveness. The knowledge of these relationships may be utilized by the organizations for developing a supportive work culture for achievement of super ordinate goal of organizational effectiveness.

The future academic endeavors might make of present study as the stepping stone for future explanatory and confirmatory research towards a more complete understanding of the effectiveness considerations in particular and the related organizational dynamics in general.

It is suggested for future studies to incorporate other relevant variables viz. income, qualification, professional area, family and social responsibilities and family size of managers, etc. Besides this other situational and personality variables may be studied and their impact may be ascertained.

While extending this research, future studies could develop comparative study by selecting both public and private sector players of the industry to throw more light in this context.

Future studies could focus exclusively on the top management of the corporate sector to study the effect of Emotional Intelligence and Rational Emotive Behavior on strategic competencies.

### References

- Ryback, D (1998) Putting Emotional Intelligence to Work: Successful Leadership is More Than IQ. Boston: Butterwork-Heinemann.
- Goleman, D. (1995). Emotional Intelligence: Why It Can Matter More Than IQ. New York: Bantam Books.
- 3. Reddin, W. J. (1987) How to Make Management Style More Effective, Maidenhead: McGraw Hill.
- 4. Drucker, P. The Effective Executive. New York, New York. (1966)
- Singh.D. (2003). Emotional Intelligence at Work. 2nd ed. New Delhi: Sage Publications.
- Salovey, P., Mayer, J. D., Goldman, S. L., Turvey, C., & Palfai, T. P. (1995). Emotional attention, clarity, and repair: Exploring emotional intelligence using the Trait Meta-Mood Scale. In J. W. Pennebaker (Ed.), Emotion, disclosure, and health (pp. 125-154). Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- 7. Salovey, P., Bedell, B., Detweiler, J. B., & Mayer, J. D. (1999). Coping

- intelligently:Emotional intelligence and the coping process. In C. R. Snyder (Ed.), Coping: The psychology of what works (pp. 141-164). New York: Oxford University press.
- Jac, J.H. (1997): "Emotional Intelligence and cognitive ability as predictors of job performance in the banking sector". Unpublished master's thesis.
- 9. Sitarenios, G (2002): Emotional Intelligence in the prediction of placement successes in the company "Business incentives". Toronto, Canada: Multi-health system
- Sitarenios, G. (2001). Emotional Intelligence in the Prediction of Sales Success in the Finance Industry. Retrieved February 2,2005, from the Multi Health Inc Web site.
- 11. Sitarenios,G (1998): Emotional Intelligence in the prediction of sales success in the Finance industry. Canada: Multi-health system.
- 12. Sitarenios, G (2002): Emotional Intelligence in the prediction of placement successes in the company "Business incentives". Toronto, Canada: Multi-healthsystem
- 13. Sitarenios, G. (2002). Emotional intelligence in the prediction of placement successes in the company "Business incentives". Toronto, Canada: Multi-Health systems. intelligence?'. In P. Salovey and D.J. Sluyter (Eds.). Emotional development and emotional intelligence. New York: Basic Books.
- 14. Cherniss, C., and Adler, M. 2000: Promoting Emotional Intelligence in organisation. Alexandria; virgins ASTD
- Lagrange, L., & Roodt, G. (2001). Personality and cognitive ability as predictors of the job performance of insurance sale people. SA Journal of Industrial Psychology, 27, 3.
- Slaski, M., & Cartwright, S. (2002). Health; performance and emotional intelligence an exploratory study of retail managers. Stress and health, 18, 63-68.
- Sinha, A.K., and Jain, A.K 2004: Emotional Intelligence imperative for the organisationally relevant outcomes. Psychological studies, 49, pp. 81-96. Applied Psychology, January 2008, Vol. 34, No. 1, 47-55.
- Donaldo-Feidler, E.J., and Bond, F.N. 2004. The relative importance of psychological acceptance and Emotional Intelligence to workplace wellbeing. British journal of guidance and counselling, 32, pp187-203
- Gupta, S.(1996)." Managerial effectiveness: Conceptual framework and Scale Development', Indian Journal of Industrial relations, vol.31, no.3, pp. 392-409.
- 20. Reddin, W. J. (1970), Managerial Effectiveness, New York:McGraw Hill Inc.
- 21. Reddin, W. J. (1987) How to Make Management Style More Effective, Maidenhead: McGraw Hill.

### **Online References and Visited Search Engines**

- 22. www.guruji.com
- 23. www.google.com
- 24. www.dogpile.com
- 25. www.alvista.com

P: ISSN NO.: 2394-0344 RNI No.UPBIL/2016/67980

## E: ISSN NO.: 2455-0817

# VOL-2\* ISSUE-6\* September- 2017 Remarking An Analisation

- 26. http://psychology.about.com/od/psychologyquot es/a/eiquotes.htm
- 27. http://psychology.about.com/od/Ei.htm
- http://practicaleq.typepad.com/practicaleq/2006/ 08/emotional\_intel.html
- 29. Consortium for Research on Emotional Intelligence in Organizations Emotional Intelligence 12 (www.eiconsortium.org)
- 30. http://www.thehindubusinessline.in/
- 31. http://www.rachelgreen.com/cgi-bin/a.pl?tips91
- 32. http://www.trainingreference.co.uk/skills/persona I\_development/emotional\_intelligence\_tips.htm
- 33. http://www.lifespy.com/2007/ten-tips-inimproving-emotional-intelligence/
- 34. http://www.mindtools.com/pages/article/newCD V\_59.htm
- www.leadershipcoachacademy.com/handouts/E Q\_articleEQ\_at\_Work.pdf
- http://www.amoghfoundation.org/activityzone.ht ml

- http://www.worldcocoafoundation.org/scientificresearch/research
  - library/pdf/FFS\_implementation\_manual2.pdf
- http://www.answers.com/topic/stress#ixzz1Uo6K dVZ5
- www.journal.au.edu/abac\_journal/2001/may01/f oreword.pdf
- 40. http://www.freepatentsonline.com/article/Asia-Pacific-Business-Review/198547951.html
- http://www.leabrovedani.com/wp-content/ uploads/The-Business-Case-for-Emotional-Intelligence.pdf
- 42. www.EQPerformance.com
- 43. Fast Company, "How Do You Feel," June 2000
- 44. www.sq.4mg.com/apa.htm -
- 45. http://www.projectbaba.com/tellcom.php
- 46. http://www.mtpinnacle.com/pdfs/EMOTIONAL% 20INTELLIGENCE%20AND%20ORGANIZATIO NAL%20EFFECTIVENESS.pdf